

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/797,758 | SCHUKAR ET AL. | |

| | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Tim Phan | 3729 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Thiem D. Phan.

(3) _____

(2) Philip Y. Dahl.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 21 September 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic

Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicants agree to cancel "Applicants further ... by claim 3.", the last line of the Restriction's Response, page 1, filed on 8/27/06, in order to clarify the election, which is Group I, Claims 1-17.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)